#### New BCUC Powers to Impose "Administrative Penalties"

#### Jeff Christian Partner, Lawson Lundell LLP



© 2013. Jeff Christian. All Rights Reserved. The views contained in this article are solely of the author and are published for general consideration only. These views should not be relied upon as legal or professional regulation or ethics advice. For more information, please telephone 604-631-9115 and ask to speak to Mr. Jeff Christian. Lawson Lundell LLP is a British Columbia Limited Liability Partnership.





 Administrative penalty proceedings at BCUC – what to expect?

#### What are Administrative Penalties?

- Fines against companies, and in some cases employees of companies
- Half-way house between:
  - 1. penal/criminal punishments; and
  - 2. non-financial administrative orders, eg. licence restrictions
- Rationale: more effective regulation in consequence of greater enforcement options
- First in BC in 1989, now hundreds in Canada.

#### **BCUC's Other Enforcement Powers**

- S. 106 it is an "offence" to refuse to obey an order of BCUC – effectively a criminal charge
- S.38 BCUC power to establish standards for safe, efficient, just and reasonable service.

- Two Elements:
  - new Part 8.1 of UCA re Administrative Penalties
  - o Administrative Penalties Regulation
  - both came into force in November 2012

- Regulation establishes range of "penalties" for different contraventions
  - $\circ$  up to \$1,000,000 for corporations
  - up to \$100,000 for director, officer or agent for specified contraventions
  - o otherwise \$25,000 maximum
- Contravention more than one day: each day may be a separate contravention for purposes of penalty (s. 109.2(2))

- Part 8.1 establishes the substance of the new regime
  - contravention of UCA or regulations (109.1(1))
  - contravention of "order, standard or rule" or a "reliability standard" of the BCUC (109.1(1))
  - director, officer or agent also contravenes, if authorized, permitted or acquiesced in corporate contravention (109.1(2))

- due diligence and "officially induced error" defences (s.109.1(4))
- penalty can be imposed only after a hearing (s.109.1(1))

- Factors to consider in imposing "penalty" (s. 109.2(3)):
  - o effect on others
  - o **benefit**
  - p<u>a</u>st action eg. repeated contraventions, lack of institutional commitment, cost to comply
  - o post action eg. cooperation, self-reporting
  - o state of mind eg. deliberate, intentional

- public utility contravenors may not recover "penalties" in rates (s. 109.5)
- limitation period (of sorts): 2 years from date of notice to chair of BCUC, to notice of contravention and penalty (s.109.8(1))

#### BCUC Administrative Penalty Proceedings

- Very little in Part 8.1 or Regulation regarding process
- Surprising? (\$1,000,000/day)
- What happens before the hearing?
  - investigation phase (maximum 2 years)

#### Challenges to Administrative Penalty Regimes

- Constitutional issues
  - division of powers criminal law is federal responsibility
  - s.11(d) of Charter presumption of innocence in regard to offences
- Administrative law issues procedural fairness
  - investigative stage impartial and thorough investigation
  - hearing stage who speaks for the "prosecution"

#### Investigation Stage Issues (1)

- 2005 BCUC investigation into use of openaccess transmission service by BC Hydro – no wrongdoing found:
  - investigation stage yielded report of 3<sup>rd</sup> party consultant that was adverse to BC Hydro
  - on later application to establish new terms and conditions, BCUC sought to retain same consultant
  - consultant was dismissed, on application, on basis of reasonable apprehension of bias

### Investigation Stage Issues (2)

- 2012 BCUC investigation into complaints of natural gas marketer, Active Energy:
  - investigation report by 3<sup>rd</sup> party was challenged and ultimately struck on basis that not impartial, went beyond mandate
  - ad hoc establishment of investigation phase staff, with own counsel, and firewall between commissioners and investigation staff

### **BCUC Implications**

- Fundamental question: will ad hoc establishment of investigation staff be sufficient?
  - does firewall act only in regard to the matter? does it act only while the matter is on-going?
- At hearing: who, if anyone, will advocate for a finding of contravention:
  - investigation staff problematic (recall obligation to be impartial)

## **BCUC Implications (con't)**

- Assuming more-or-less permanent investigation/prosecutional roles develop:
  - is "fleshing out the record" role still appropriate? necessary?

#### Prepared by: Jeff Christian



#### Vancouver

Suite 1600 Cathedral Place 925 West Georgia Street Vancouver, British Columbia Canada V6C 3L2 (T) 604.685.3456 (F) 604.669.1620

#### Calgary

Suite 3700, 205-5<sup>th</sup> Avenue S.W. Bow Valley Square 2 Calgary, Alberta Canada T2P 2V7 (T) 403.269.6900 (F) 403.269.9494

#### Yellowknife

P.O. Box 818 200, 4915 - 48 Street Yellowknife, Northwest Territories Canada X1A 2N6 (T) 867.669.5500 (F) 867.920.2206 Toll Free: 888.465.7608

© 2013, Lawson Lundell LLP. All rights reserved. Lawson Lundell LLP is a British Columbia Limited Liability Partnership